

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED NOV 07 2012

CHIKIZIE OTTAT

(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the plaintiff(s)/petitioner(s).)

- against -

VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC

NOTICE OF APPEAL  
IN A CIVIL CASE

(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s)/respondent(s).)

Notice is hereby given that

CHIKIZIE OTTAT

(party)

hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit from the Judgment

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT FOR THE REASONS  
(describe the judgment)

STATED IN THE COURT'S OPINION AND ORDER DATED 10,  
OCT. 2012, VERIFONE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IS GRANTED  
entered in this action on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of NOVEMBER, 2012. Document # 41  
(date) (month) (year)

Signature

648 DOROTHY LANE,

Address

ELMON, N.Y. 11003

City, State & Zip Code

DATED: NOV. 7, 2012

(718) 581-4539

Telephone Number

NOTE: To take an appeal, this form must be received by the Pro Se Office of the Southern District of New York within thirty (30) days of the date on which the judgment was entered, or sixty (60) days if the United States or an officer or agency of the United States is a party.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT  
Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse 40 Foley Square, New York, NY 10007 Telephone: 212-857-8500

MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT

Docket Number(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Caption [use short title] \_\_\_\_\_

Motion for: MOTION OF APPEAL

Set forth below precise, complete statement of relief sought: THE ENTIRE INVENTION WAS DENIED, ILLUSTRATED OR CONSTRUCTED, DEMONSTRATED FROM PARAGRAPH 1 TO PARAGRAPH 1 BY A CLAIMED DRAWING PART AND A WRITTEN CLAIM PART. THE CLAIMED DRAWING AND WRITTEN PART WAS ACCEPTED BY USPTO. THE COURT/JUDGE GRANTED THE USE OF THE DRAWING, BUT ON HIS FINAL OPINION. THE JUDGE NEITHER DISCUS THE ILLUSTRATIVE DRAWINGS OR SEE THE INFRINGEMENT OF THE BASIC A VIOLATION U.S.C. 113 DRAWING

MOVING PARTY: ☒ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant  
☒ Appellant/Petitioner ☐ Appellee/Respondent

OPPOSING PARTY: \_\_\_\_\_

MOVING ATTORNEY: \_\_\_\_\_ OPPOSING ATTORNEY: \_\_\_\_\_  
[name of attorney, with firm, address, phone number and e-mail]

Court-Judge/Agency appealed from: USDC SDNY 11 CIV 6187 (RMB)

Please check appropriate boxes:

Has movant notified opposing counsel (required by Local Rule 27.1):  
☐ Yes ☐ No (explain): \_\_\_\_\_

Opposing counsel's position on motion:  
☐ Unopposed ☐ Opposed ☒ Don't Know

Does opposing counsel intend to file a response:  
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Don't Know

Is oral argument on motion requested? ☒ Yes ☐ No (requests for oral argument will not necessarily be granted)

Has argument date of appeal been set? ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, enter date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Moving Attorney: Obet

Date: 11/07/12

Service by: ☐ CM/ECF ☐ Other [Attach proof of service]

BY SELF.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the motion is GRANTED DENIED.

FOR THE COURT:

CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE, Clerk of Court

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ By: \_\_\_\_\_

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X  
CHIKEZIE OTTAH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

VERIFONE SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant.  
-----X

USDC SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 10/11/12

11 **CIVIL** 6187 (RMB)

**JUDGMENT**

Verifone Systems, Inc. ("Verifone") having moved for summary judgment, and the matter having come before the Honorable Richard M. Berman, United States District Judge, and the Court, on October 10, 2012, having rendered its Opinion and Order granting Verifone's motion for summary judgment, it is,


**ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:** That for the reasons stated in the Court's Opinion and Order dated October 10, 2012, Verifone's motion for summary judgment is granted; accordingly, the case is closed.

**Dated:** New York, New York  
October 11, 2012

**RUBY J. KRAJICK**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Clerk of Court**

**BY:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
**Deputy Clerk**

THIS DOCUMENT WAS ENTERED  
ON THE DOCKET ON \_\_\_\_\_